

A DUALITY METHOD IN PREDICTION THEORY OF MULTIVARIATE STATIONARY SEQUENCES

BY MICHAEL FRANK AND LUTZ KLOTZ OF LEIPZIG

Kurztitel: Prediction theory of stationary sequences.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60G25, 60G10; Secondary 42A10

Keywords and phrases. Multivariate stationary sequence, prediction problem, non-negative Hermitian matrix-valued weight function, trigonometric approximation.

Abstract. Let W be an integrable positive Hermitian $q \times q$ -matrix valued function on the dual group of a discrete abelian group $\mathbf{G}$ such that W^{-1} is integrable. Generalizing results of T. NAKAZI and of A. G. MIAMEE [N] and M. POURAHMADI [MiP] for $q = 1$ we establish a correspondence between trigonometric approximation problems in $L^2(W)$ and certain approximation problems in $L^2(W^{-1})$. The result is applied to prediction problems for q -variate stationary processes over $\mathbf{G}$, in particular, to the case $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$.

1. Introduction

In 1984 T. NAKAZI [N] introduced a new idea into prediction theory of univariate weakly stationary sequences. Under the additional assumption that such a sequence has an absolutely continuous spectral measure and its spectral density w is such that w^{-1} exists and is integrable he related approximation problems in $L^2(w)$ to certain approximation problems in $L^2(w^{-1})$. His method opened a way for him to give an elegant proof of Szegő's infimum formula and to obtain a partial solution of a certain prediction problem which will be called Nakazi's prediction problem in the present paper. A. G. MIAMEE and M. POURAHMADI [MiP] pointed out that the essence of Nakazi's method consists in a certain duality between the Hilbert spaces $L^2(w)$ and $L^2(w^{-1})$. This way they found a unified approach to several 'classical' prediction problems, and they generalized some of Nakazi's results, partially even to more general harmonizable stable sequences. The papers [Mi, CMiP] contain further completions of these results.

The aim of the present paper is the application of Nakazi's duality method to q -variate ($q \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of positive integers) weakly stationary processes over discrete abelian groups $\mathbf{G}$. Under the assumption that the inverse W^{-1} of the $q \times q$ -matrix valued spectral density W of such a process is integrable we establish duality relations between the left Hilbert modules $L^2(W)$ and $L^2(W^{-1})$. Section three of our paper contains the general results. The further sections are devoted to applications.

In Section four we obtain some well-known prediction results by quite different proof methods. We compute the one-point interpolation error matrix and derive Yaglom's interpolation recipe under the mentioned assumption on W . Moreover, Section four contains our generalization of Nakazi's proof of Szegő's infimum formula to the multivariate situation. This way we obtain a special case of a result due to V. N. ZASUKHIN [Z] as well as to H. HELSON and D. LOWDENSLAGER [HelL, Thm. 8].

If $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$ is the abelian group of integers and the index set S of the known values consists of all negative integers and the set of integers $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then the arising prediction problem is called Nakazi's prediction problem. In Section five we solve this problem under the additional assumption that $\log \det W$ is integrable. We will see that in case W^{-1} is integrable the result is an easy consequence of our duality results. The more general case in which merely $\log \det W$ is integrable can be solved by approximation procedures. Section five also contains some straightforward multivariate generalizations of univariate results of [MiP].

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a discrete abelian group with neutral element 0, $\mathbf{G}^*$ be its dual group, and λ be the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbf{G}^*$, i.e. $\lambda(\mathbf{G}^*) = 1$. Relations between measurable functions on $\mathbf{G}^*$ are to be understood as relations which hold true almost everywhere (abbreviated to "a.e.") with respect to (abbreviated to "w.r.t.") λ . Integration is always meant to be done over $\mathbf{G}^*$. For a subset S of $\mathbf{G}$ set $S^0 = S \cup \{0\}$ and $S^c = \mathbf{G} \setminus S^0$. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\mathbf{M}_q$ the algebra of all complex-valued $q \times q$ -matrices. The zero matrix and the identity matrix of $\mathbf{M}_q$ are denoted by 0 and I , respectively. For a non-empty subset S of $\mathbf{G}$ let $\mathcal{T}(S)$ be the left $\mathbf{M}_q$ -module of all $\mathbf{M}_q$ -valued trigonometric polynomials

with frequencies of S . Functions of $\mathcal{T}(\{0\})$, i.e. constants, and elements of $\mathbf{M}_q$ will be identified and denoted by the same symbols.

If $A \in \mathbf{M}_q$ then the symbol A^* stands for the adjoint of A , $\det A$ for its determinant, $\text{tr } A$ for its normalized trace and $|A|$ for its normalized euclidean norm, i.e. $|A|^2 = \text{tr}(AA^*)$. If A is regular then A^{-1} denotes its inverse. The set of all Hermitian matrices will be equipped with Loewner's semi-ordering. In particular, a maximum or minimum of a subset of the set of Hermitian $q \times q$ -matrices is to be understood w.r.t. that semi-ordering. The cone of positive Hermitian $q \times q$ -matrices is denoted by $\mathbf{M}_q^>$. For $A \in \mathbf{M}_q^>$ we denote its unique positive square root by $A^{1/2}$. Finally, let L^1 and L^2 be the linear spaces of (equivalence classes of) $\mathbf{M}_q$ -valued functions on $\mathbf{G}^*$ that are integrable or square-integrable, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$ be the set of $\mathbf{M}_q^>$ -valued functions of L^1 and $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q(\mathbf{G}^*) = \{W \in \mathcal{W}_q(\mathbf{G}^*) : W^{-1} \in L^1\}$. For $W \in \mathcal{W}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$ the symbol $L^2(W)$ denotes the left Hilbert $\mathbf{M}_q$ -module of (equivalence classes of) $\mathbf{M}_q$ -valued functions F on $\mathbf{G}^*$ such that $\text{tr}(FWF^*)$ is integrable w.r.t. λ . The $\mathbf{M}_q$ -valued inner product on $L^2(W)$ is defined by

$$(F, G) = \int F W G^* d\lambda,$$

the corresponding scalar product by

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \text{tr}(F, G), \quad F, G \in L^2(W).$$

The derived Hilbert space norm on $L^2(W)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. For a more general construction see [Ros].

We recall that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a (closed) submodule of $L^2(W)$ and $F \in L^2(W)$ there exists a unique function $F_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $(F - F_{\mathcal{M}}, F - F_{\mathcal{M}})$ is the minimum of the set $\{(F - G, F - G) : G \in \mathcal{M}\}$. The element $F_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the result of the orthogonal projection of F onto $\mathcal{M}$ w.r.t. both $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, i.e. $(F - F_{\mathcal{M}}, G) = 0$ for any $G \in \mathcal{M}$. The orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{M}$ is equal to the submodule $\{H \in L^2(W) : (H, G) = 0 \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{M}\}$. The function $(F - F_{\mathcal{M}})$ is the result of the orthogonal projection of F onto the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{M}$. A detailed study of the geometry of Hilbert $\mathbf{M}_q$ -modules can be found in [GH], for applications to prediction theory cf. [WMa].

Considering $L^2(W)$ as the spectral domain of a q -variate weakly stationary process over $\mathbf{G}$ we can formulate linear prediction problems of such a process as trigonometric approximation problems in $L^2(W)$. If $S \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ let $\mathcal{M}(S)$ be the closure of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ in $L^2(W)$ and P_S be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{M}(S)$. If $S \subseteq \mathbf{G} \setminus \{0\}$ the determination of $P_S I$ as well as of the prediction error matrix

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_S = (I - P_S I, I - P_S I) = (I - P_S I, I)$$

is of fundamental importance in the prediction theory of q -variate weakly stationary processes over $\mathbf{G}$. This kind of determination problem is sometimes called the general prediction problem. There exist more or less complete solutions of it for some special choices for the set S . For an introduction to prediction theory of q -variate weakly stationary processes we refer to [Roz].

3. Duality relations

Let $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$. Then along with $L^2(W)$ one can consider the left Hilbert $\mathbf{M}_q$ -module $L^2(W^{-1})$. The symbols $(\cdot, \cdot)$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $\|\cdot\|$, $\mathcal{M}(S)$, P_S and Δ_S referring to $L^2(W)$ will be replaced by $(\cdot, \cdot)_\sim$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\sim$, $\|\cdot\|_\sim$, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(S)$, $\tilde{P}_S$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_S$, respectively, for the denotation of the corresponding objects related to $L^2(W^{-1})$. It turns out that a certain duality between $L^2(W)$ and $L^2(W^{-1})$ is helpful if we study the general prediction problem in $L^2(W)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$. Then the mapping*

$$F \longrightarrow FW, \quad F \in L^2(W),$$

is an isometric isomorphism of $L^2(W)$ onto $L^2(W^{-1})$.

P r o o f : If $F, G \in L^2(W)$, we obviously have $(F, G) = (FW, GW)_\sim$. If $H \in L^2(W^{-1})$ then $HW^{-1} \in L^2(W)$ and $HW^{-1}W = H$. $\square$

Another immediate consequence of the integrability of W^{-1} is the point of view on $L^2(W)$ and on $L^2(W^{-1})$ as on subspaces of L^1 . This can be seen from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad \int |F| d\lambda &= \int |FW^{1/2}W^{-1/2}| d\lambda \leq \int |FW^{1/2}| \cdot |W^{-1/2}| d\lambda \\ &\leq \left(\int |FW^{1/2}|^2 d\lambda \right)^{1/2} \left(\int |W^{-1}| d\lambda \right)^{1/2} \\ &< \infty, \quad F \in L^2(W). \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a submodule of $L^2(W)$ then the submodule

$$\mathcal{M}^d = \left\{ G \in L^2(W^{-1}) : \int FG^* d\lambda = 0, F \in \mathcal{M} \right\} \subseteq L^2(W^{-1})$$

is said to be its dual. Note, that $\mathcal{M}^d$ is the orthogonal complement in $L^2(W^{-1})$ of the submodule $\mathcal{M}W = \{FW : F \in \mathcal{M}\}$. For a subset $S \subseteq \mathbf{G} \setminus \{0\}$ the symbol $\tilde{I}_S$ denotes the orthogonal projection of I onto $\mathcal{M}(S^0)^d \subseteq L^2(W^{-1})$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$. Then for subsets $S \subseteq \mathbf{G} \setminus \{0\}$*

$$(3.2) \quad P_S I = I - (I - \tilde{I}_S, I)_\sim^{-1} (I - \tilde{I}_S) W^{-1}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta_S = (I - \tilde{I}_S, I)_\sim^{-1} = (I - \tilde{I}_S, I - \tilde{I}_S)_\sim^{-1}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.2 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $F \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)$. Then $F \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ if and only if $\int F d\lambda = 0$.*

P r o o f : If $F \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ then $\int F d\lambda = 0$. If $F \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ we approximate it by elements of $\mathcal{T}(S)$, and by (3.1) we obtain $\int F d\lambda = 0$. If $F \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)$ it can be written in the form $F = A + G$, where $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $G \in \mathcal{M}(S)$. By the result just proved we have $\int F d\lambda = A$. Consequently, $\int F d\lambda = 0$ implies $F = G \in \mathcal{M}(S)$. $\square$

P r o o f o f T h e o r e m 3.2 : Since $\tilde{I}_S \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)^d$ we have $\int \tilde{I}_S d\lambda = \int \tilde{I}_S I^* d\lambda = 0$ and hence, $(I - \tilde{I}_S, W)_\sim = \int (I - \tilde{I}_S) d\lambda = I$. By a generalization of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality the inequality $(W, W)_\sim^{-1} = (I - \tilde{I}_S, W)_\sim (W, W)_\sim^{-1} (I - \tilde{I}_S, W)_\sim \leq (I - \tilde{I}_S, I - \tilde{I}_S)_\sim$

holds, cf. [B, Thm. 1]. Therefore the right hand side of (3.2) is well-defined. Let us denote it by F_S . Since $(I - \tilde{I}_S) \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)W$ we conclude $F_S \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)$ by Lemma 3.1. Because $\int F_S d\lambda = I - (I - \tilde{I}_S, I)_{\sim}^{-1}(I - \tilde{I}_S, I)_{\sim} = 0$ Lemma 3.3 implies

$$(3.4) \quad F_S \in \mathcal{M}(S).$$

Let $F \in \mathcal{M}(S)$. Then $(I, FW)_{\sim} = \int F^* d\lambda = 0$ by Lemma 3.3. Therefore, the equality $(I - F_S, F) = (I - \tilde{I}_S, I)_{\sim}^{-1}(I - \tilde{I}_S, FW)_{\sim} = (I - \tilde{I}_S, I)_{\sim}^{-1}(I, FW)_{\sim} = 0$ yields. By a combination with (3.4) we get $F_S = P_S I$. To obtain formula (3.3) simply replace one term according to (3.2) in (2.1). $\square$

From Theorem 3.2 we can derive a general result which seems not have immediate applications to prediction theory of multivariate stationary processes, however which can be considered as a certain generalization of the univariate case.

Let $\mathbf{M}'_q$ be the set of all $q \times q$ -matrices whose determinant equals to one. For $S \subseteq \mathbf{G} \setminus \{0\}$ define

$$(3.5) \quad \delta_S = \inf\{\|A - F\|^2 : A \in \mathbf{M}'_q, F \in \mathcal{M}(S)\}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $W \in \mathcal{W}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$ and S be a subset of $\mathbf{G} \setminus \{0\}$. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad \delta_S = [\det(\Delta_S)]^{1/q}.$$

P r o o f : We have the equality $[\det(I - F, I - F)]^{1/q} = \inf\{\text{tr}((I - F, I - F)B) : B \in \mathbf{M}'_q \cap \mathbf{M}'_q\}$, $F \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, cf. [HoJ, Section 7.8, Problem 19]. Since $B = A^*A$ for some $A \in \mathbf{M}'_q$ we obtain $[\det(I - F, I - F)]^{1/q} = \inf\{\|A - AF\|^2 : A \in \mathbf{M}'_q, F \in \mathcal{M}(S)\}$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [\det(\Delta_S)]^{1/q} &= \{\det[\min\{(I - F, I - F) : F \in \mathcal{M}(S)\}]\}^{1/q} \\ &= \min\{[\det(I - F, I - F)]^{1/q} : F \in \mathcal{M}(S)\} \\ &= \inf\{\|A - AF\|^2 : A \in \mathbf{M}'_q, F \in \mathcal{M}(S)\} \\ &= \delta_S. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 3.5. Since a matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}'_q$ can be written in the form $A = UB$ for a unitary matrix U and for a matrix $B \in (\mathbf{M}'_q \cap \mathbf{M}'_q)$ the value of δ_S does not change if A runs through $(\mathbf{M}'_q \cap \mathbf{M}'_q)$ on the right-hand side of (3.5). Moreover, if A exhausts the larger set of all $q \times q$ -matrices with $|\det A| = 1$ we get the same result.

Combining Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 we get the following assertion.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$. Then for subsets $S \subseteq \mathbf{G} \setminus \{0\}$ we have the equality*

$$(3.7) \quad \delta_S = (\inf\{\|A - G\|_{\sim}^2 : A \in \mathbf{M}'_q, G \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)^d\})^{-1}.$$

P r o o f : In a similar way to that one taken in the proof of Lemma 3.4 we derive the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{\|A - G\|_{\sim}^2 : A \in \mathbf{M}'_q, G \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)^d\} &= \{\det[\min\{(I - G, I - G)_{\sim} : G \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)^d\}]\}^{1/q} \\ &= [\det(I - \tilde{I}_S, I - \tilde{I}_S)_{\sim}]^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3) and (3.6) the right-hand end of this equality equals to δ_S^{-1} . $\square$

Another duality relation can be derived by a simple adaptation of a chain of equalities mentioned in the proof of [C, Thm. 4.1] to the multivariate situation.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$. Then for subsets $S \subseteq \mathbf{G} \setminus \{0\}$ one has*

$$(3.8) \quad \inf\{\|A - P_S I\| : A \in \mathbf{M}_q, \text{tr } A = 1\} = \|I - \tilde{I}_S\|_{\sim}^{-1}.$$

P r o o f : We have the following chain of equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{\|A - P_S I\|^2 : A \in \mathbf{M}_q, \text{tr } A = 1\} = \\ &= \inf\{\|A - T\|^2 : A \in \mathbf{M}_q, \text{tr } A = 1, T \in \mathcal{T}(S)\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\frac{\|A - T\|^2}{|\text{tr } A|^2} : A \in \mathbf{M}_q, \text{tr } A \neq 0, T \in \mathcal{T}(S)\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\frac{\|T\|^2}{|\text{tr}(\int T d\lambda)|^2} : T \in \mathcal{T}(S^0), \text{tr}\left(\int T d\lambda\right) \neq 0\right\} \\ &= \left(\sup\left\{\frac{\langle TW, I \rangle_{\sim}}{\|TW\|_{\sim}^2} : T \in \mathcal{T}(S^0), \text{tr}\left(\int T d\lambda\right) \neq 0\right\}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \|\tilde{P}_{S^0} I\|_{\sim}^{-2} \\ &= \|I - \tilde{I}_S\|_{\sim}^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

For applications of the preceding theorems a description of the space $\mathcal{M}(S^0)^d$ is needed. The identification of $\mathcal{M}(S^0)^d$ and of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(S^c)$ would be desirable from the point of view of prediction theory. Clearly,

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(S^c) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(S^0)^d.$$

However, whether equality really holds or not, seems to be a difficult problem, in general. It is related to basis properties of characters. MIAMEE and POURAHMADI [MiP] discussed this question and gave particular answers to it in case $q = 1$, cf. also [Mi]. In contrast to these careful investigations, in the proofs of [C, Thm. 4.1] and of [CMiP, Thm. 1] the equality $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(S^c) = \mathcal{M}(S^0)^d$ seems to be used in a rather general situation, but without any explanation how to prove it.

We call a subset $S \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ to be **G**-exact if for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$ the set identity $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{G} \setminus S) = \mathcal{M}(S)^d$ holds. Because of the symmetry of this definition we immediately obtain the following fact.

Lemma 3.8. *The set S is **G**-exact if and only if the set $\mathbf{G} \setminus S$ is **G**-exact.*

The next result demonstrates that the alteration of a **G**-exact set S by finitely many elements does not have any influence on its **G**-exactness.

Theorem 3.9. *Let S be a subset of $\mathbf{G}$ and $g \in \mathbf{G} \setminus S$. Then S is **G**-exact if and only if $S \cup \{g\}$ is **G**-exact.*

P r o o f : Without loss of generality we may assume that $0 \notin S$ and $g = 0$. Suppose S to be $\mathbf{G}$ -exact. By (3.9) we have the chain of set inclusions $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(S^c) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(S^0)^d \subseteq \mathcal{M}(S)^d \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{G} \setminus S)$. Thus, if $G \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)^d$ it can be decomposed as $G = A + H$ for some $A \in \mathbf{M}_q$ and $H \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(S^c)$. So $G - H = A \in \mathcal{M}(S^0)^d$ and hence, $A = 0$ and $G = H \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(S^c)$. The latter means that S^0 is $\mathbf{G}$ -exact. To derive the $\mathbf{G}$ -exactness of S from the $\mathbf{G}$ -exactness of S^0 one has to combine the result just proved and Lemma 3.8. $\square$

Corollary 3.10. *If either S or $\mathbf{G} \setminus S$ are finite subsets of $\mathbf{G}$ then S is $\mathbf{G}$ -exact.*

P r o o f : Define $\mathcal{M}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$, so the empty set is $\mathbf{G}$ -exact by definition. With this setting the assertion follows from Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.8. $\square$

If $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$ then its dual group $\mathbf{G}^*$ can be identified with the numerical interval $[-\pi, \pi)$, where the addition of elements is understood to be done mod 2π . The characters of $\mathbf{G}^* = [-\pi, \pi)$ can be described as the set of functions $e_k(t) = e^{ikt}$, $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. A univariate version of the assertion stated thereafter was given in [MiP, Lemma 3.6], compare with [Mi, Thm. 3.1] for a univariate extension of this assertion to L^p -spaces.

Theorem 3.11. *The set $\mathbb{N}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -exact.*

P r o o f : By (3.9) we have $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{N})^d$. Let $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{N})^d$ be orthogonal to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N})$. Then

$$(3.10) \quad \int e_k G^* d\lambda = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad \int e_k W^{-1} G^* d\lambda = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}.$$

By (3.10) the element G^* belongs to the Hardy space $\mathbf{H}^1$ (of $\mathbf{M}_q$ -valued functions), and (3.11) implies that GW^{-1} belongs to $\mathbf{H}^1$, too. Therefore, $GW^{-1}G^*$ is an element of $\mathbf{H}^{1/2}$ taking values in the set of non-negative Hermitian matrices. According to [ST] the function $GW^{-1}G^*$ is a constant function. However, by (3.10) and (3.11) the index zero Fourier coefficient of $GW^{-1}G^*$ equals to zero, so $GW^{-1}G^* = 0$ and $G = 0$. $\square$

4. Some applications

From the general assertions of section three we can easily derive some prediction results for multivariate stationary sequences. Many of them are well-known, however here they are drawn from a different context.

Theorem 4.1. (cf. [Heb, Thm. 4.3] or [Ma, Cor. 2.9])

Let $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q(\mathbf{G}^*)$ and $S = \mathbf{G} \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_S I &= I - \left(\int W^{-1} d\lambda \right)^{-1} W^{-1}, \\ \Delta_S &= \left(\int W^{-1} d\lambda \right)^{-1} \text{ and} \\ \delta_S &= \left[\det \left(\int W^{-1} d\lambda \right) \right]^{-1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f : Since $\mathcal{M}(S^0)^d = \{0\}$ the first two results are immediate consequences of Theorem 3.2. The third result can be derived from (3.6). $\square$

Now let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$, and for a certain $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$S_1 = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. (cf. [Ya, Thm. 2] for the univariate case)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $W \in \mathcal{W}_q([- \pi, \pi])$ and $C_l = \int e_l W^{-1} d\lambda$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let the $q \times q$ -matrices $\{D_k : k = 1, \dots, n\}$ be the solutions of the linear system

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n C_{j-k} D_k^* = C_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{S_1} I &= I - \left(I - \sum_{k=1}^n D_k e_k, I \right)_{\sim}^{-1} \left[I - \sum_{k=1}^n D_k e_k \right) W^{-1} \Big], \\ \Delta_{S_1} &= \left(I - \sum_{k=1}^n D_k e_k, I \right)_{\sim}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\delta_{S_1} = \left[\det \left(I - \sum_{k=1}^n D_k e_k, I \right)_{\sim} \right]^{-1/q}.$$

P r o o f : According to Corollary 3.10 the set S_1 is $\mathbb{Z}$ -exact. Elementary calculations show that $\tilde{P}_{S_1^c} I = \sum_{k=1}^n D_k e_k$. Knowing this the result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.4. $\square$

Remark 4.3. From (4.2) we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n C_{-k} D_k^* = C_0 - \Delta_{S_1}^{-1}.$$

Let Γ_n be the Toeplitz matrix $\Gamma_n = (C_{j-k})_{j,k=1,\dots,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is not hard to see that the system of equations (4.1) and (4.3) can be written in the form

$$\Gamma_{n+1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -I \\ D_1^* \\ \vdots \\ D_n^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta_{S_1}^{-1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -I \\ D_1^* \\ \vdots \\ D_n^* \end{pmatrix} = \Gamma_{n+1}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta_{S_1}^{-1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing the first $q \times q$ -blocks from both the sides we get $I = \Omega_n \Delta_{S_1}^{-1}$, and therefore $1 = \det(\Omega_n) \det(\Delta_{S_1}^{-1})$. Here Ω_n denotes the $q \times q$ -matrix in the left upper corner of the matrix Γ_{n+1}^{-1} . Using a well-known rule for computing minors of inverse matrices together with the fact that the $nq \times nq$ -matrix in the right lower corner of Γ_{n+1} equals Γ_n , we get

$$\det(\Delta_{S_1}) = \det(\Omega_n) = \frac{\det(\Gamma_n)}{\det(\Gamma_{n+1})}$$

for the determinant of the prediction error matrix.

For the special case where $q = 1$ NAKAZI gave an elegant proof of Szegő's infimum formula, cf. [N, Cor. 4]. ZASUKHIN [Z], HELSON and LOWDENSLAGER [HelL, Thm. 8] stated a version of Szegő's theorem for non-negative Hermitian matrix-valued measures. Using the results of section three we can adapt Nakazi's proof to the multivariate situation to obtain the result by Zasukhin and Helson-Lowdenslager for absolutely continuous non-negative Hermitian matrix-valued measures.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$ and W be a function of L^1 , whose values are non-negative Hermitian matrices. Then*

$$(4.4) \quad \delta_{\mathbb{N}} = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{q} \cdot \int \log \det W \, d\lambda \right\},$$

where the right-hand side of (4.4) has to be interpreted as zero in case the expression $\log \det W$ is not integrable.

P r o o f : First assume that $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q([-\pi, \pi])$. If $A \in \mathbf{M}'_q$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N})$ from the inequality between the arithmetic and the geometric means and from the fact that $\int \log |\det(A - T)| \, d\lambda \geq \log |\det A| = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - T\|^2 &= \int \operatorname{tr}[(A - T)W(A - T)^*] \, d\lambda \\ &\geq \exp \left\{ \int \log \operatorname{tr}[(A - T)W(A - T)^*] \, d\lambda \right\} \\ &\geq \exp \left\{ \int \log \{ \det[(A - T)W(A - T)^*] \}^{1/q} \, d\lambda \right\} \\ &\geq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{q} \cdot \int \log \det W \, d\lambda \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4.5) \quad \delta_{\mathbb{N}} \geq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{q} \cdot \int \log \det W \, d\lambda \right\}.$$

Similarly,

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{\delta}_{\mathbb{N}^c} \geq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{q} \cdot \int \log \det(W^{-1}) \, d\lambda \right\}.$$

By Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 3.9 the set $\mathbb{N}^0$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -exact, and by (3.7) the equality $\delta_{\mathbb{N}} = \tilde{\delta}_{\mathbb{N}^c}^{-1}$ turns out. Comparing this with (4.5) and (4.6) we get the desired result under the additional assumption that $W^{-1} \in L^1$. The general assertion can be derived from this partial one by a standard approximation argument demonstrated in [A, pp. 260-261] for the case $q = 1$. $\square$

From Theorem 4.4 we obtain a somewhat surprising result.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}_q([-\pi, \pi])$. Then*

$$[\det(\Delta_{\mathbb{N}})]^{1/q} = \inf \left\{ \int [\det[(I - T)W(I - T)^*]]^{1/q} \, d\lambda : T \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}) \right\}.$$

P r o o f: By (3.6) and (4.4) we conclude $[\det(\Delta_{\mathbb{N}})]^{1/q} = \exp \{1/q \cdot \int \log \det W d\lambda\}$. On the other hand,

$$\exp \left\{ 1/q \cdot \int \log \det W d\lambda \right\} = \inf \left\{ \int [\det[(I - T)W(I - T)^*]]^{1/q} d\lambda : T \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}) \right\},$$

cf. [K, Cor.]. $\square$

5. Nakazi's prediction problem

Another application of the results of Section three gives some information about the multivariate version of Nakazi's prediction problem. Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$ and S be of the form

$$(5.1) \quad S_2 = \mathbb{N}^c \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume the function W belongs to $\mathcal{W}_q([-\pi, \pi])$ and is such that $\log \det W$ is integrable. The set of such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{W}'_q([-\pi, \pi])$ in the sequel. Let Φ be the unique outer function of the Hardy space $\mathbf{H}^2$ (of functions with values in $\mathbf{M}_q$) such that

$$(5.2) \quad W = \Phi^* \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad \int \Phi d\lambda \in \mathbf{M}_q^>.$$

The function Φ^{-1} is also outer, but it does not belong to $\mathbf{H}^2$, in general. Let B_j be the j -th Taylor coefficient of Φ^{-1} , $j \in \mathbb{N}^0$.

Lemma 5.1. (cf. [MiP, Cor. 3.7] for $q = 1$)

Let $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q([-\pi, \pi])$. Then

$$(5.3) \quad P_{S_2} = I - \sum_{j=0}^n (B_j B_j^*)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n B_j e_j (\Phi^*)^{-1}$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \Delta_{S_2} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^n B_j B_j^* \right)^{-1}.$$

P r o o f: By Lemma 3.8 and Theorems 3.9 and 3.11 the set S_2 is $\mathbb{Z}$ -exact. Taking into account Theorem 3.2 and the fact that the outer function Φ^{-1} belongs to H^2 as soon as $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q([-\pi, \pi])$, the proof consists of straightforward calculations which will be omitted. $\square$

Our goal is to establish (5.3) and (5.4) under the weaker assumption that $\log \det W$ is integrable. This can be done by an approximation procedure. Our approach is similar to that one presented in the proof of [CMiP, Th. 3] for the case $q = 1$. However, since we wish to compute not only the prediction error as done there but also the orthogonal projection, we give a complete proof of our generalized result.

Let $W_m = W + 1/m \cdot I$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)_m$ the $\mathbf{M}_q$ -valued inner product of $L^2(W_m)$, by $\mathcal{M}_m(S_2)$ the closure of $\mathcal{T}(S_2)$ in $L^2(W_m)$, and by $P_{S_2}^{(m)}$ the orthogonal projection of $L^2(W_m)$ onto $\mathcal{M}_m(S_2)$. Note that $L^2(W_m)$ can be considered as a subset of $L^2(W)$, and that the inclusion

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{M}_m(S_2) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(S_2), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

holds. Furthermore,

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (I - P_{S_2}^{(m)} I, I - P_{S_2}^{(m)} I)_m = (I - P_{S_2} I, I - P_{S_2} I).$$

Let Φ_m be the corresponding outer factor of W_m , i.e.

$$W_m = \Phi_m^* \Phi_m \quad \text{and} \quad \int \Phi_m d\lambda \in \mathbf{M}_q^>, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The following result is an easy consequence of [DLE], so the proof is omitted.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $W \in \mathcal{W}'_q([-\pi, \pi])$. Then $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_m = \Phi$ w.r.t. the topology of L^2 .*

Let B_{jm} be the j -th Taylor coefficient of Φ_m^{-1} . Set

$$T_m = \left(\sum_{j=0}^n B_{jm} B_{jm}^* \right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n B_{jm} e_j$$

and

$$T = \left(\sum_{j=0}^n B_j B_j^* \right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n B_j e_j.$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $W \in \mathcal{W}'_q([-\pi, \pi])$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{m_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|I - T(\Phi^*)^{-1} - P_{S_2}^{(m_l)} I\| = 0.$$

P r o o f : Lemma 5.2 implies that there exists a subsequence $\{m_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{m_l} = \Phi$ a.e.. Since

$$\|(\Phi_{m_l}^*)^{-1} - (\Phi^*)^{-1}\|^2 \leq \int |\Phi - \Phi_{m_l}|^2 |\Phi_{m_l}^{-1}|^2 |\Phi^{-1}|^2 |W^{1/2}|^2 d\lambda = \int |\Phi - \Phi_{m_l}|^2 |W_{m_l}^{-1}| d\lambda$$

and $|\Phi - \Phi_{m_l}|^2 |W_{m_l}^{-1}| \leq 2 \cdot (|\Phi|^2 + |\Phi_{m_l}|^2) |W_{m_l}^{-1}| \leq 4$ Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem yields

$$(5.7) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Phi_{m_l}^*)^{-1} - (\Phi^*)^{-1}\| = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 5.1 we can write

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|I - T(\Phi^*)^{-1} - P_{S_2}^{(m_l)} I\| &= \|T_{m_l}(\Phi_{m_l}^*)^{-1} - T(\Phi^*)^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \|T_{m_l}(\Phi_{m_l}^*)^{-1} - T_{m_l}(\Phi^*)^{-1}\| + \|T_{m_l}(\Phi^*)^{-1} - T(\Phi^*)^{-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2 implies that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}^0$ the j -th Taylor coefficient of Φ_m tends to the j -th Taylor coefficient of Φ as m tends to infinity. Therefore, $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} T_{m_l} = T$ uniformly on $[-\pi, \pi]$, and the second summand on the right hand side of (5.7) tends to zero as l tends to infinity. Also the first summand there tends to zero because of (5.7) and the uniform boundedness of the set $\{T_{m_l} : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$. $\square$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$, S_1 be the set described at (5.1) and $W \in \mathcal{W}'_q([-\pi, \pi])$. Then*

$$(5.9) \quad P_{S_2} I = I - \left(\sum_{j=0}^n B_j B_j^* \right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n B_j e_j (\Phi^*)^{-1},$$

$$(5.10) \quad \Delta_{S_2} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^n B_j B_j^* \right)^{-1}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad \delta_{S_2} = \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=0}^n B_j B_j^* \right) \right]^{-1/q}.$$

P r o o f : From (5.6) and Lemma 5.3 we get

$$\|I - P_{S_2} I\| \geq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|I - P_{S_2}^{(m_l)} I\| = \|I - (I - T(\Phi^*)^{-1})\|.$$

If we take (5.5) into account, we can conclude that $(I - T(\Phi^*)^{-1}) \in \mathcal{M}(S_2)$. This yields (5.9). To obtain (5.10) combine formula (5.9) with (2.1). Finally, (5.11) is a consequence of (3.6). $\square$

We conclude our paper by stating the prediction error matrix Δ_S for sets S of the form

$$(5.12) \quad S_3 = \mathbf{N}^c \cup \{n\}$$

and

$$(5.13) \quad S_4 = \mathbf{N}^c \setminus \{-n\}$$

for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The univariate versions of the results below can be found at [CMiP, Th. 5 and 6]. Let A_j be the j -th Taylor coefficient of the outer function Φ which has the properties (5.2), $j \in \mathbf{N}^0$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$, S_3 be the set described at (5.13) and $W \in \mathcal{W}'_q([-\pi, \pi])$. Then*

$$(5.14) \quad \Delta_{S_3} = A_0^* \left(I - A_n \left(\sum_{j=0}^n A_j^* A_j \right)^{-1} A_n^* \right) A_0.$$

If A_n is regular then

$$(5.15) \quad \Delta_{S_3} = A_0^* (A_n^*)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j^* A_j \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n A_j^* A_j \right)^{-1} A_n^* A_0.$$

We omit the proof since (5.14) can be obtained by a straightforward generalization of the proof given for [CMiP, Th. 5] to the multivariate case, and (5.15) follows by some simple matrix computations from (5.14).

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}$, S_4 be the set described at (5.12) and $W \in \mathcal{W}'_q([-\pi, \pi])$. Then*

$$(5.16) \quad \Delta_{S_4} = A_0 \left(I - B_n \left(\sum_{j=0}^n B_j^* B_j \right)^{-1} B_n^* \right) A_0^*.$$

If B_n is regular then

$$(5.17) \quad \Delta_{S_4} = A_0 (B_n^*)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^n B_j^* B_j \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B_j^* B_j \right)^{-1} B_n^* A_0^*.$$

P r o o f : Since the set S_4 is $\mathbb{Z}$ -exact by Theorem 3.11, Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 3.10 and since the set S_4^c is the reflection of S_3 about the origin, the result immediately follows from the Theorems 3.2 and 5.5 whenever $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_q([-\pi, \pi])$. If merely $W \in \mathcal{W}'_q([-\pi, \pi])$ approximate W by the sequence $\{W + 1/m \cdot I\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. $\square$

References

- [A] ACHIESER, N. J.: Vorlesungen über Approximationstheorie, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1953.
- [B] BULTHEEL, A.: Inequalities in Hilbert modules of matrix-valued functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85**(1982), 369-372.
- [C] CHENG, R.: On the prediction error of two-parameter stationary random fields, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **46**(1992), 167-175.
- [CMiP] CHENG, R., MIAMEE, A. G. and POURAHMADI, M.: Some extremal problems in $L^p(w)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126**(1998), 2333-2340.
- [DLE] DZHANASHIYA, G. A., LAGVILAVA, E. T. and ÈPREMIDZE, L. N.: On approximate factorization of positive definite matrix functions (Russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **54**(1999), no. 6, 161-162.
- [GH] GOLDSTINE, H. H. and HORWITZ, L. P.: Hilbert space with non-associative scalars II, *Math. Ann.* **164**(1966), 291-316.
- [Heb] HEBLE, M. P.: Some problems in time series analysis, *J. Math. Mech.* **9**(1960), 951-987.
- [HelL] HELSON, H. and LOWDENSLAGER, D.: Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables, *Acta Math.* **99**(1958), 165-202.
- [HoJ] HORN, R. A. and JOHNSON, C. R.: *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge et al., 1986.
- [K] KLOTZ, L.: A matrix generalization of a theorem of Szegő, *Anal. Math.* **18**(1992), 63-72.
- [Ma] MASANI, P.: The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes III, *Acta Math.* **104**(1960), 141-162.
- [Mi] MIAMEE, A. G.: On basicity of exponentials in $L^p(d\mu)$ and general prediction problems, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **26**(1993), 115-124.
- [MiP] MIAMEE, A. G. and POURAHMADI, M.: Best approximations in $L^p(d\mu)$ and prediction problems of Szegő, Kolmogorov, Yaglom and Nakazi, *J. London Math. Soc.* **38**(1988), 133-145.
- [N] NAKAZI, T.: Two problems in prediction theory, *Studia Math.* **78**(1984), 7-14.
- [Ros] ROSENBERG, M.: The square-integrability of matrix-valued functions with respect to a non-negative Hermitian measure, *Duke Math. J.* **31**(1964), 291-298.
- [Roz] ROZANOV, YU. A.: Stationary Random Processes (Russian), Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1963.
- [ST] SALEHI, H. and TAYLOR, G. D.: Positive matrix $H^{1/2}$ and hermitian matrix H^1 functions are constant, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26**(1970), 469-470.
- [WMa] WIENER, N. and MASANI, P.: The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes, I. The regularity condition, *Acta Math.* **98**(1957), 111-150.
- [Ya] YAGLOM, A. M.: On problems about the linear interpolation of stationary random sequences and processes (Russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **4**(1949), no. 4, 173-178.
- [Z] ZASUKHIN, V. N.: On the theory of multidimensional stationary random processes (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **33**(1941), 435-437.

Universität Leipzig
 Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik
 Mathematisches Institut
 Augustusplatz 10
 D-04109 Leipzig
 Fed. Rep. Germany